STATE OF NEW MEXICO BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT BOARD No. EIB 11-02(R)IN THE MATTER OF PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE STATE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN TO SATISFY THE REQUIREMENTS OF CLEAN AIR ACT 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) WITH RESPECT TO VISIBILITY TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BE IT REMEMBERED that on the 2nd day of June, 2011, this matter came on for hearing before the Environmental Improvement Board, taken at the San Juan College, Room 7103, 4601 College Boulevard, Farmington, New Mexico, at the hour of 12:33 p.m.

1	APPEARANCES
2	The Hearing Officer:
3	FELICIA ORTH
4	New Mexico Environment Department 1190 St. Francis Drive
5	Harold Runnels Building Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
6	The New Mexico Environmental Improvement Board:
7	DEBORAH PEACOCK GREGORY H. FULFER
8	JAMES R. CASCIANO JOHN MICHAEL VOLKERDING
9	TIMOTHY L. MORROW JEFFREY W. BRYCE
10	ELIZABETH RYAN
11	STEPHEN VIGIL Assistant Attorney General
12	Civil Division Office of the Attorney General
13	Post Office Drawer 1508 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-1508
14	(505) 827-6040 svigil@nmag.gov
15	Svigiimag.gov
16	For New Mexico Environment Department:
17	BILL GRANTHAM Office of General Counsel
18	New Mexico Environment Department Post Office Box 5469
19	1190 St. Francis Drive Suite N-4064
20	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-5469 (575) 779-1627
21	bill.grantham@state.nm.us
22	
23	
24	
25	

		3
1	APPEARANCES (Continued)	
2	For Public Service Company of New Mexico and Southwestern Public Service Company:	
3	RICHARD L. ALVIDREZ MILLER STRATVERT PA	
5	Attorneys at Law 500 Marquette, Northwest Suite 1100	
6 7	Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102 (505) 824-4737 ralvidrez@mstlaw.com	
8	For M-S-R Public Power Agency:	
9	LOUIS W. ROSE MONTGOMERY & ANDREW, PA	
10	Attorneys at Law Post Office Box 2307	
11	325 Paseo de Peralta Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2307	
12 13	(505) 982-3873 lrose@montand.com	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

			4				
			4				
1	I N D E X	PAGE					
2	WITNESSES:						
3	MARY UHL						
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Grantham	8					
5	Examination by the Board	11					
6	MAUREEN GANNON						
7	Direct Testimony	13					
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

- MS. ORTH: We're moving now -- excuse me.

 Thank you.
- We're moving now to a second hearing, proposed revisions to the State Implementation Plan to satisfy the requirements of Clean Air Act
- Section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II), with respect to visibility.

 The last hearing was primarily about NO_x. This one is
- about ozone, PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$. It's docketed by the administrator as EIB 11-02.
 - Board members, you will have noticed from the materials we sent you that we have just one witness providing technical testimony in this hearing. That's Ms. Uhl on behalf of the Department.
 - There were other parties who entered appearances, including PNM and Mr. Rose's client, and the Western Environmental Law Center. However, none of them proposed to present technical testimony. The only technical testimony we'll hear is from Ms. Uhl.
 - I will then ask if anyone has nontechnical public comment to offer.
- We expect this hearing to be a much shorter hearing than the one we've just come through.
- Ms. Uhl, Mr. Grantham.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. GRANTHAM: Thank you, Madam Hearing
Officer, members of the Board.

To refresh your memory, this hearing is very closely related to the hearing that we've just conducted. It is to approve a SIP in Section 110(a)(2)(D) of the Clean Air Act, which is the good neighbor provision.

The impetus for this SIP revision is the fact that EPA used this provision of the Clean Air Act in order to make their BART -- proposed BART determination for San Juan Generating Station under the theory that because other states -- let me back up.

110(a)(2)(D), the good neighbor provision, requires a state to address emissions from within the state for various purposes, including the impact that those emissions would have on visibility measures in other states.

their proposed BART determination in their -- in their proposed BART determination that because other states in the region, such as Colorado, Arizona, Utah, were relying on an emission rate of .27 to .28 pounds per million BTU of $\mathrm{NO_x}$ and for $\mathrm{SO_2}$ of .15 pounds per million BTU, it was necessary to have some provision to enforce those requirements, in the absence of a regional haze plan from the state.

With that, I would like to examine our only witness in this case.

1 MARY UHL 2 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: 3 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. GRANTHAM: 6 Q. Please state your name for the record. 7 My name is Mary Uhl. Α. And what is your employment? 8 Q. 9 I work for the New Mexico Environment Α. 10 Department. I'm the Occupational Health and Safety 11 Bureau chief. 12 Q. And how long have you been in that position? 13 Α. This is my 30th day in that position. And what was your position prior to that? 14 Q. 15 My position prior to that was the Air Quality Bureau chief for the New Mexico Environment Department. 16 17 And as Air Quality Bureau chief, did you Q. submit written testimony in the -- to be included in the 18 notice of intent in this matter? 19 20 Α. Yes, I did. And do you have any changes to the written 21 0. testimony that you submitted? 22 23 Α. No, I do not.

Then do you adopt that testimony under oath

24

25

here today?

A. I do.

2.0

- Q. And have there been any changes or any new developments since the petition in this matter was filed?
 - A. Yes.

On May 16th, the Air Quality Bureau issued a revision to the permit for the San Juan Generating Station, and that revision required the San Juan Generating Station to limit their SO_2 emissions to .15 pounds per million BTU of sulfur dioxide.

That is equivalent to the presumptive limit of -- for BART for sulfur dioxide. Despite the fact that there was no BART determination for SO_2 for PNM's San Juan Generating Station, that is equivalent to the presumptive limit, which is the same as the limit that EPA proposed in their Federal Implementation Plan on $110\,(\mathrm{a})\,(\mathrm{2})\,(\mathrm{D})$.

MR. GRANTHAM: Madam Hearing Officer, I have copies of that permit I would like to submit as NMED Exhibit 6.

MS. ORTH: 6. All right.

Are there objections from the other parties to the admission of 6?

MR. ALVIDREZ: No objection.

MS. ORTH: No?

All right. It's admitted.

2 (Exhibit NMED 6 admitted into evidence.)

MS. ORTH:

- Q. (BY MR. GRANTHAM) Ms. Uhl, is there anything else you would like to add?
- A. So that the objective that the Department needs to -- needs to achieve here for submission to USEPA is that for the PNM San Juan Generating Station the $\rm NO_x$ emissions will be less than .27 to .28 pounds per million BTU of nitrogen oxides.

And with the previous approval of that SIP submission, we achieved that. We actually achieved the presumptive limit, which is .23 pounds per million BTU. So we are -- we are more than achieving what is expected by other states for NO_x emissions, and we are achieving the .15 pounds per million BTU of SO_2 that is expected by other states in the region.

So the State of New Mexico will not interfere with efforts to protect visibility in other states and will, in fact, exceed what other states would have expected the state to achieve.

MR. GRANTHAM: Thank you.

I have no more questions.

MS. ORTH: All right.

Do any of the other parties have questions of

- 1 Ms. Uhl based on her testimony?
- 2 MR. ALVIDREZ: No questions. Thank you.
- MR. ROSE: No questions.
- 4 MS. ORTH: Anyone else?
- 5 Board members, do you have questions of
- 6 Ms. Uhl?

7

- EXAMINATION
- 8 BY THE BOARD:
- 9 MR. CASCIANO: Just to clarify the numbers
- 10 | that you used, other states are expecting 0.28?
- MS. UHL: 0.27 to 0.28. That was actually
- 12 what the Western Regional Air Partnership projected as
- 13 | emissions for the San Juan Generating Station.
- 14 MR. CASCIANO: And we'll be achieving --
- MR. GRANTHAM: .23, which is the --
- 16 MR. CASCIANO: During what time frame?
- MS. UHL: In five years. Yeah.
- 18 MR. CASCIANO: Okay. Thank you.
- 19 MS. ORTH: Other Board questions?
- 20 MR. VOLKERDING: I was going to say the same
- 21 | thing that Mr. Casciano pointed out, that our previous
- 22 decision works well with this and that we, obviously,
- 23 | are not impacting other states negatively with that
- 24 decision, and that it will help other states in meeting
- 25 | their obligations, as well.

```
1
              So I just wanted to make sure we pointed that
2
    out.
3
              MS. ORTH: Anything else from the Board?
 4
              Were there objections to Exhibits 1 through 5
5
    which were attached to the Department's NOI?
6
              MR. ALVIDREZ: No objection.
7
              MS. ORTH: No?
              All right. Exhibits 1 through 5 are also
8
9
    admitted.
10
              (Exhibits NMED 1 through 5 admitted into
11
              evidence.)
12
              MS. ORTH: Is there anything else,
13
    Mr. Grantham.
              MR. GRANTHAM: No, Madam Hearing Officer.
14
15
    think that Ms. Uhl's stated the case as succinctly as
16
    possible.
17
              MS. ORTH: All right. Thank you, Ms. Uhl and
18
    Mr. Grantham.
19
              Let me ask now if anyone has nontechnical
20
    public comment they would like to offer in EIB 11-02.
21
              Ma'am.
22
              THE REPORTER: Would you mind coming over
23
    here, please.
24
              MS. GANNON: Oh, okay.
25
              THE REPORTER:
                              Thank you.
```

MAUREEN GANNON

2 having been first duly sworn or affirmed, was 3 examined and testified as follows:

1

4

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DIRECT TESTIMONY

THE REPORTER: Would you state and spell your full name, please.

MS. GANNON: Maureen Gannon, M-A-U-R-E-E-N, last name Gannon, G-A-N-N-O-N.

THE REPORTER: Thank you.

MS. GANNON: EIB Board, Chairman, thank you for giving me an opportunity to talk.

My name is Maureen Gannon. I'm the director of environmental services for Public Service Company of New Mexico.

PNM stands in support of the proposed SIPs for Section 110(a)(2)(D), the good neighbor provisions of the Clean Air Act. With the previous adoption of the state regional haze SIP by the Board, we will be able to meet the presumptive limit as we talked about for NO_x , .23 pound per MBTU which satisfies the good neighbor provisions.

In addition, we have filed a permit revision to achieve a lower SO_2 emission rate of 0.15 pound per MBTU, and so we are, you know, willing to live with those requirements.

```
1
              And so we just want to state our support of
2
    this -- this second proposed SIP.
              And that's all.
 3
 4
              MS. ORTH: Board, do you have questions of
5
    Ms. Gannon?
6
               Thank you very much.
7
               Is there anyone else who would like to offer
    nontechnical comment in EIB 11-02?
8
9
              Hearing nothing else, we will close the
10
    evidentiary record.
11
              And, Madam Chair, we'll continue back to you.
12
              MS. PEACOCK: And we'll open up for
    deliberations.
13
              Any comments?
14
              Or motions?
15
              MS. RYAN: I move to approve the SIP under the
16
17
    good neighbor provision.
18
              MR. FULFER: Second.
19
              MS. PEACOCK: All in favor.
20
               (Simultaneous responses.)
              MS. PEACOCK: Unanimous.
21
22
              MS. ORTH: Thank you.
23
              MS. PEACOCK: Approved.
24
               (Proceedings adjourned at 12:45 p.m.)
25
```

```
1
    STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2
                           ) ss.
    COUNTY OF BERNALILLO
3
                           )
 4
5
 6
         I, CHERYL ARREGUIN, the officer before whom the
7
    foregoing proceeding was taken, do hereby certify that
8
    the witnesses whose testimony appears in the foregoing
    transcript were duly sworn or affirmed; that I
9
10
    personally recorded the testimony by machine shorthand;
11
    that said transcript is a true record of the testimony
12
    given by said witnesses; that I am neither attorney nor
13
    counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the
    parties to the action in which this proceeding is taken,
14
15
    and that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney
    or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially
16
    interested in the action.
17
18
19
                               NOTARY PUBLIC
20
                               CCR License Number: 21
                               Expires: 12/31/2011
21
22
    My Commission Expires:
                             12/12/11
23
24
25
```

0	4	addition [1] - 13:22	Board [11] - 1:17,	Counsel [1] - 2:17
		address [1] - 7:12	2:6, 4:5, 6:10, 6:25,	COUNTY [1] - 15:3
0.45 40.00	4 5.0	adjourned [1] -	11:5, 11:19, 12:3,	cover [1] - 5:7
0.15 [1] - 13:23	4 [1] - 5:8	14:24	13:10, 13:18, 14:4	
0.27 [1] - 11:11	4601 [1] - 1:18	administrator [1] -	Boulevard [1] - 1:18	D
0.28 [2] - 11:10,	_	- 6:9	Box [2] - 2:18, 3:10	
11:11	5	admission [1] - 9:23	BRYCE [1] - 2:9	de [1] - 3:11
0063-M6R2 [1] - 5:10		ADMITTED [1] - 5:1	BTU [6] - 7:20, 7:21,	DEBORAH [1] - 2:7
	5 [5] - 5:5, 5:9, 12:4,	admitted [4] - 10:1,	9:10, 10:10, 10:13,	decision [2] - 11:22,
1	12:8, 12:10	10:2, 12:9, 12:10	10:16	11:24
	500 [1] - 3:5	adopt [1] - 8:24	Building [1] - 2:4	deliberations [1] -
1 [4] - 5:3, 12:4, 12:8,	505 [3] - 2:14, 3:6,	adoption [1] - 13:17	Bureau [4] - 8:11,	14:13
12:10	3:12	affirmed [3] - 8:2,	8:16, 8:17, 9:6	
10 [1] - 5:11	5469 [1] - 2:18	13:2, 15:9	BY [3] - 8:5, 10:4,	DEPARTMENT [1] -
11 [1] - 4:5	575 [1] - 2:20	Agency [1] - 3:8	11:8	5:2
11-02 [3] - 6:9, 12:20,	010[1] 2.20	AIR [1] - 1:5		Department [7] - 2:3,
14:8	6	Air [10] - 5:3, 5:6,	С	2:16, 2:18, 6:13, 8:10,
11-02(R [1] - 1:3	U	6:5, 7:4, 7:7, 8:15,		8:16, 10:6
110 [1] - 5:3		8:17, 9:6, 11:12,	CACCIANO (5) 2:0	Department's [1] -
110(a)(2)(D [3] - 7:4,	6 [5] - 5:10, 9:20,	13:17	CASCIANO [5] - 2:8,	12:5
7:11, 13:16	9:21, 9:23, 10:2	Albuquerque [1] -	11:9, 11:14, 11:16,	despite [1] - 9:12
		3:6	11:18	determination [4] -
110(a)(2)(D) [1] -	7	ALVIDREZ [4] - 3:3,	Casciano [1] - 11:21	7:8, 7:16, 7:17, 9:13
9:17	•	9:24, 11:2, 12:6	case [2] - 7:25, 12:15	developments [1] -
110(a)(2)(D)(i)(II [2] -		ANDREW [1] - 3:9	CCR [1] - 15:20	9:3
1:5, 6:6	7103 [1] - 1:18	appearances [1] -	Center [1] - 6:16	dioxide [2] - 9:10,
1100 [1] - 3:5	779-1627 [1] - 2:20	6:15	certify [1] - 15:7	9:12
1190 [2] - 2:4, 2:19		Application [1] - 5:6	Chair [1] - 14:11	Direct [2] - 4:4, 4:7
12 [5] - 5:3, 5:5, 5:7,	8	approval [1] - 10:11	Chairman [1] - 13:10	DIRECT [2] - 8:4,
5:8, 5:9		approve [2] - 7:3,	changes [2] - 8:21,	13:4
12/12/11 [1] - 15:22	0 4-4	14:16	9:2	director [1] - 13:12
12/31/2011 [1] -	8 [1] - 4:4	approved [1] - 14:23	CHERYL [1] - 15:6	Division [1] - 2:12
15:20	824-4737 [1] - 3:6	Arizona [1] - 7:18	chief [3] - 8:11, 8:16,	docketed [1] - 6:8
12:33 [1] - 1:19	827-6040 [1] - 2:14	ARREGUIN [1] - 15:6	8:17	Drawer [1] - 2:13
12:45 [1] - 14:24	87102 [1] - 3:6	Assistant [1] - 2:11	Civil [1] - 2:12	Drive [2] - 2:4, 2:19
13 [1] - 4:7	87501 [1] - 2:5	attached [1] - 12:5	clarify [1] - 11:9	duly [3] - 8:2, 13:2,
15 [3] - 7:20, 9:10,	87502-5469 [1] - 2:20	attachments [1] - 5:7	Clean [5] - 5:3, 6:5,	15:9
10:16	87504-1508 [1] - 2:13		7:4, 7:7, 13:17	during [1] - 11:16
1508 [1] - 2:13	87504-2307 [1] - 3:11	attorney [2] - 15:12,	CLEAN [1] - 1:5	
16th [1] - 9:6		15:15	client [1] - 6:15	E
	9	Attorney [2] - 2:11,	close [1] - 14:9	_
2		2:12	closely [1] - 7:2	
	982-3873 [1] - 3:12	Attorneys [2] - 3:4,	College [2] - 1:17,	efforts [1] - 10:19
O 5:4	302-3013 [1] - 3.12	3:10	1:18	EIB [5] - 1:3, 6:9,
2 [1] - 5:4	Α	В	Colorado [1] - 7:18	12:20, 13:10, 14:8
2011 [2] - 1:15, 5:5	Α	В	coming [1] - 12:22	ELIZABETH [1] -
21 [1] - 15:20			comment [3] - 6:20,	2:10
23 [3] - 10:13, 11:15,	able [1] - 13:18	BART [5] - 7:8, 7:17,	12:20, 14:8	emission [2] - 7:19,
13:20	absence [1] - 7:22	9:12, 9:13	comments [1] -	13:23
2307 [1] - 3:10	achieve [3] - 10:7,	based [1] - 11:1	14:14	emissions [6] - 7:12,
27 [2] - 7:19, 10:9	10:21, 13:23	BE [1] - 1:15	Commission [1] -	7:14, 9:9, 10:9, 10:15,
28 [2] - 7:19, 10:9	achieved [2] - 10:12	BEFORE [1] - 1:1	15:22	11:13
2nd [1] - 1:15	achieving [3] -	behalf [1] - 6:13	Company [3] - 3:2,	employed [2] -
	10:14, 10:15, 11:14	BERNALILLO [1] -	3:2, 13:13	15:13, 15:16
3	ACT [1] - 1:5	15:3	conducted [1] - 7:3	employee [1] - 15:15
	Act [5] - 5:3, 6:5, 7:4,			employment [1] - 8:8
		BILL [1] - 2:17	continue [1] - 14:11	enforce [1] - 7:22
3 5.0	/:/ 13:1/	bill.grantham@	Continued [1] - 3:1	
3 [1] - 5:6	7:7, 13:17	_	apples 0:40	entered [1] - 6:14
30th [1] - 8:13	action [2] - 15:14,	state.nm.us [1] - 2:21	copies [1] - 9:19	Environment [5] -
		_	copies [1] - 9:19 counsel [2] - 15:13, 15:16	

8:16 1:17, 2:6 13:14 frame [1] - 11:16 meet [1] - 13:19 ENVIRONMENT [1] -Francis [2] - 2:4, IN [1] - 1:4 meeting [1] - 11:24 nitrogen [1] - 10:10 included [1] - 8:18 NMED [3] - 9:19, members [3] - 6:10, **FULFER** [2] - 2:7, environmental [1] including [2] - 6:15, 10.2 12.10 6:25, 11:5 13:13 7:13 NOI [1] - 12:5 14:18 memory [1] - 7:1 **ENVIRONMENTAL** full [1] - 13:6 none [1] - 6:16 intent [1] - 8:19 **MEXICO** [3] - 1:1, m - 1:1 interested [1] - 15:17 5:2, 15:1 nontechnical [3] -Environmental [3] -G interfere [1] - 10:18 Mexico [15] - 1:18, 6:19, 12:19, 14:8 1:16, 2:6, 6:16 issued [1] - 9:6 2:3, 2:5, 2:6, 2:13, Northwest [1] - 3:5 **EPA**[2] - 7:7, 9:16 IT [1] - 1:15 2:16, 2:18, 2:20, 3:2, NOTARY [1] - 15:19 G-A-N-N-O-N [1] -EPA's [1] - 7:16 3:6, 3:11, 8:9, 8:16, nothing [1] - 14:9 equivalent [2] - 9:11, 10:18, 13:14 J notice [1] - 8:19 **GANNON** [5] - 4:6, MICHAEL [1] - 2:8 Notice [1] - 5:4 12:24, 13:1, 13:7, evidence [2] - 10:2, MILLER [1] - 3:4 noticed [1] - 6:10 13:10 **JAMES** [1] - 2:8 12:11 million [6] - 7:20, notices [1] - 5:9 **Gannon** [4] - 13:7, **January** [1] - 5:4 7:21, 9:10, 10:10, evidentiary [1] -**NOx** [5] - 6:7, 7:20, 13:8, 13:12, 14:5 **JEFFREY** [1] - 2:9 14:10 10:13, 10:16 10:9, 10:15, 13:19 General [3] - 2:11, JOHN [1] - 2:8 mind [1] - 12:22 Examination [1] -NSR [1] - 5:10 2:12, 2:17 Juan [8] - 1:17, 5:10, 4:4 MONTGOMERY [1] -Number [1] - 15:20 Generating [7] -7:9, 9:7, 9:8, 9:14, **EXAMINATION** [2] -3:9 numbers [1] - 11:9 5:11, 7:9, 9:7, 9:9, 10:8, 11:13 MORROW [1] - 2:9 8:4, 11:7 9:14, 10:8, 11:13 June [1] - 1:15 examination [1] - 4:5 motions [1] - 14:15 0 given [1] - 15:12 examine [1] - 7:24 move [1] - 14:16 GRANTHAM [8] -L examined [2] - 8:3, moving [2] - 6:1, 6:3 2:17, 6:24, 8:5, 9:18, oath [1] - 8:24 MR [17] - 6:24, 8:5, 10:4, 10:22, 11:15, objection [2] - 9:24, exceed [1] - 10:20 9:18, 9:24, 10:4, last [2] - 6:7, 13:8 12.14 12:6 excuse [1] - 6:1 10:22, 11:2, 11:3, Law [3] - 3:4, 3:10, **Grantham** [4] - 4:4, objections [2] - 9:22, Exhibit [2] - 9:20, 11:9, 11:14, 11:15, 6:16 6:23, 12:13, 12:18 12:4 11:16, 11:18, 11:20, 10:2 less [1] - 10:9 **GREGORY** [1] - 2:7 **objective** [1] - 10:6 **Exhibits** [3] - 12:4, 12:6, 12:14, 14:18 letter [1] - 5:7 obligations [1] -12:8, 12:10 **MS** [23] - 6:1, 9:21, License [1] - 15:20 Н 11:25 9:25, 10:3, 10:24, expect [1] - 6:21 limit [6] - 9:9, 9:11, **obviously** [1] - 11:22 11:4, 11:11, 11:17, expected [3] - 10:14, 9:15. 10:13. 13:19 Occupational [1] -11:19, 12:3, 12:7, 10:16, 10:21 Harold [1] - 2:4 live [1] - 13:24 8:10 12:12, 12:17, 12:24, **expecting** [1] - 11:10 haze [2] - 7:23, 13:18 **LOUIS** [1] - 3:9 **OF** [6] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:5, 13:7, 13:10, 14:4, Expires [2] - 15:20, Health [1] - 8:10 lower [1] - 13:23 1:13, 15:1, 15:3 14:12, 14:16, 14:19, 15:22 hear [1] - 6:18 Irose@montand. offer [3] - 6:20, 14:21, 14:22, 14:23 hearing [9] - 1:16, com [1] - 3:12 12:20, 14:7 MSR [1] - 3:8 F 6:3, 6:7, 6:12, 6:21, Office [5] - 2:12, 6:22, 7:1, 7:2, 14:9 M 2:13, 2:17, 2:18, 3:10 Ν Hearing [4] - 2:2, fact [3] - 7:6, 9:12, Officer [4] - 2:2, 6:24, 9:18, 12:14 6:25, 9:18, 12:14 10:20 ma'am [1] - 12:21 help [1] - 11:24 N-4064 [1] - 2:19 Farmington [1] officer [1] - 15:6 machine [1] - 15:10 hereby [1] - 15:7 name [5] - 8:6, 8:7, 1:18 one [3] - 6:7, 6:11, Madam [4] - 6:24, hereto [1] - 15:16 13:6, 13:8, 13:12 favor [1] - 14:19 6.22 9:18, 12:14, 14:11 hour [1] - 1:19 Fe [4] - 2:5, 2:13, necessary [1] - 7:21 open [1] - 14:12 Marquette [1] - 3:5 needs [2] - 10:7 2:20, 3:11 opportunity [1] -MARY [2] - 4:3, 8:1 ı negatively [1] -Federal [2] - 5:4, Mary [2] - 5:8, 8:7 11:23 9:16 order [1] - 7:8 materials [1] - 6:11 neighbor [5] - 7:5, **FELICIA** [1] - 2:3 **ORTH** [14] - 2:3, 6:1, impact [1] - 7:13 **MATTER** [1] - 1:4 7:11, 13:16, 13:20, filed [2] - 9:4, 13:22 9:21, 9:25, 10:3, impacting [1] - 11:23 matter [3] - 1:16, 14:17 financially [1] -10:24, 11:4, 11:19, impetus [1] - 7:6 8:19, 9:3 **new** [1] - 9:2 15:16 12:3, 12:7, 12:12, **IMPLEMENTATION** MAUREEN [3] - 4:6, **NEW** [3] - 1:1, 5:2, first [2] - 8:2, 13:2 12:17, 14:4, 14:22 [1] - 1:4 13:1, 13:7 15.1 five [1] - 11:17 oxides [1] - 10:10 Implementation [2] -Maureen [2] - 13:7, New [15] - 1:18, 2:3, follows [2] - 8:3, ozone [1] - 6:8 6:4, 9:16 13:12 2:5, 2:6, 2:13, 2:16, 13:3 **IMPROVEMENT** [1] -MBTU [2] - 13:20, 2:18, 2:20, 3:2, 3:6, foregoing [2] - 15:7, 13:24 3:11, 8:9, 8:16, 10:18, 15:8 Improvement [2] measures [1] - 7:14

Ρ **p.m** [2] - 1:19, 14:24 **PA** [2] - 3:4, 3:9 **PAGE** [1] - 4:1 parties [5] - 6:14, 9:22, 10:25, 15:14, Partnership [1] -11:12 Paseo [1] - 3:11 PEACOCK [5] - 2:7, 14:12, 14:19, 14:21, 14.23 per [8] - 7:20, 9:10, 10:9, 10:13, 10:16, 13:20, 13:23 Peralta [1] - 3:11 permit [3] - 9:7, 9:19, Permit [2] - 5:6, 5:10 personally [1] -15:10 petition [1] - 9:3 **PLAN** [1] - 1:4 **plan** [1] - 7:23 **Plan** [2] - 6:4, 9:16 **PM10** [1] - 6:8 PM2.5 [1] - 6:8 **PNM** [3] - 6:15, 10:8, 13:15 PNM's [1] - 9:13 pointed [2] - 11:21, 12:1 position [4] - 8:12, 8:13, 8:14, 8:15 possible [1] - 12:16 Post [3] - 2:13, 2:18, 3:10 pound [2] - 13:20, 13:23 pounds [6] - 7:19, 7:20, 9:10, 10:9, 10:13, 10:16 Power [1] - 3:8 present [1] - 6:17 presumptive [4] -9:11, 9:15, 10:13, 13:19 previous [3] - 10:11, 11:21, 13:17 **primarily** [1] - 6:7 proceeding [2] -15:7, 15:14 PROCEEDINGS [1] proceedings [1] -

14:24

projected [1] - 11:12

proposed [7] - 6:3, 6:17, 7:8, 7:17, 9:16, 13:15, 14:2 **PROPOSED** [1] - 1:4 protect [1] - 10:19 providing [1] - 6:12 provision [5] - 7:5, 7:7, 7:11, 7:21, 14:17 provisions [2] -13:16, 13:21 PUBLIC [1] - 15:19 Public [5] - 3:2, 3:2, 3:8, 5:9, 13:13 public [2] - 6:20, 12:20 purposes [1] - 7:13

Q

Quality [4] - 5:6, 8:15, 8:17, 9:6 questions [7] -10:23, 10:25, 11:2, 11:3, 11:5, 11:19, 14:4

R

ralvidrez@mstlaw.

com [1] - 3:7 rate [2] - 7:19, 13:23 record [3] - 8:6, 14:10, 15:11 recorded [1] - 15:10 refresh [1] - 7:1 region [2] - 7:18, Regional [1] - 11:12 regional [2] - 7:22, 13:18 **Register** [1] - 5:4 related [2] - 7:2, 15:13 relative [1] - 15:15 relying [1] - 7:19 REMEMBERED [1] -1:15 REPORTER [4] -12:22, 12:25, 13:5, 13:9 **required** [1] - 9:8 requirements [3] -6:5, 7:22, 13:25 REQUIREMENTS [1] - 1:5 requires [1] - 7:12

respect [1] - 6:6

RESPECT [1] - 1:6

responses [1] 14:20
revision [4] - 7:6,
9:7, 9:8, 13:22
revisions [1] - 6:4
REVISIONS [1] - 1:4
RICHARD [1] - 3:3
Room [1] - 1:17
ROSE [2] - 3:9, 11:3
rose's [1] - 6:15
Runnels [1] - 2:4
RYAN [2] - 2:10,
14:16

S

San [8] - 1:17, 5:10,

Santa [4] - 2:5, 2:13,

Safety [1] - 8:10

7:9, 9:7, 9:8, 9:14,

10:8, 11:13

2:20, 3:11

satisfies [1] - 13:20 **SATISFY** [1] - 1:5 satisfy [1] - 6:4 second [3] - 6:3, 14:2, 14:18 Section [4] - 5:3, 6:6, 7:4, 13:16 sent [1] - 6:11 Service [3] - 3:2, 3:2, 13:13 **services** [1] - 13:13 shorter [1] - 6:21 shorthand [1] -15:10 simultaneous [1] -14:20 **SIP** [6] - 7:3, 7:6, 10:11, 13:18, 14:2, 14:16 SIPs [1] - 13:15 SO2 [5] - 7:20, 9:9, 9:13, 10:16, 13:23 Southwestern [1] -3:2 **spell** [1] - 13:5 **ss** [1] - 15:2 St [2] - 2:4, 2:19 stands [1] - 13:15 state [8] - 7:12, 7:13, 7:23, 8:6, 10:21, 13:5, 13:18, 14:1 **STATE** [3] - 1:1, 1:4, State [2] - 6:4, 10:18 states [10] - 7:10, 7:15, 7:18, 10:15, 10:17, 10:19, 10:20,

11:10, 11:23, 11:24 Station [7] - 5:11, 7:9, 9:8, 9:9, 9:14, 10:8, 11:13 **STEPHEN** [1] - 2:11 STRATVERT [1] submission [2] -10:7, 10:12 **submit** [2] - 8:18, 9:19 submitted [1] - 8:22 succinctly [1] -12:15 **Suite** [2] - 2:19, 3:5 sulfur [2] - 9:10, 9:12 **support** [2] - 13:15, 14:1 svigil@nmag.gov [1] - 2:14 **sworn** [3] - 8:2, 13:2, 15:9

Т

technical [3] - 6:12, 6:17, 6:18 testified [2] - 8:3, 13:3 TESTIMONY [1] -13:4 testimony [10] -6:12, 6:17, 6:18, 8:18, 8:22, 8:24, 11:1, 15:8, 15:10, 15:11 **Testimony** [2] - 4:7, 5:8 **THE** [9] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:5, 11:8, 12:22, 12:25, 13:5, 13:9 theory [1] - 7:9 **TIMOTHY** [1] - 2:9 **TO** [3] - 1:4, 1:5, 1:6 today [1] - 8:25 TRANSCRIPT [1] -1:13 transcript [2] - 15:9, 15:11 true [1] - 15:11

U

UHL [4] - 4:3, 8:1, 11:11, 11:17 Uhl [9] - 5:8, 6:13, 6:18, 6:23, 8:7, 10:4, 11:1, 11:6, 12:17 Uhl's [1] - 12:15 unanimous [1] 14:21
under [3] - 7:9, 8:24,
14:16
Universal [1] - 5:6
up [2] - 7:10, 14:12
USEPA [1] - 10:8
Utah [1] - 7:18

V

various [1] - 7:13 VIGIL [1] - 2:11 VISIBILITY [1] - 1:6 visibility [3] - 6:6, 7:14, 10:19 VOLKERDING [2] -2:8, 11:20

W

Western [2] - 6:16, 11:12 willing [1] - 13:24 WITH [1] - 1:6 witness [2] - 6:11, 7:25 WITNESSES [1] - 4:2 witnesses [2] - 15:8, 15:12 works [1] - 11:22 written [2] - 8:18, 8:21

Υ

years [1] - 11:17

KATHY TOWNSEND COURT REPORTERS